MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director for Administration

SUBJECT : Polygraphing of Industrial Contractor

Personnel Assigned to the National Photographic Interpretation Center

REFERENCE : Memo dtd 3 October 1974 from D/Sec

to D/NPIC, same subject

(DD/A 74 - 3974)

- 1. This memorandum is for your information.
- 2. On 3 October 1974 reference was forwarded to your office with a recommendation for your concurrence. Per your request dated 16 October 1974, the Office of Security contacted the DD/S&T on the cited subject to obtain a clear reading as to the "impact" if Industrial Contractors refused to be polygraphed. Attached is a memorandum from the Chief, Support Staff, NPIC, setting forth their assessment of the results of this action.
- 3. It is the opinion of NPIC and the DD/S&T that it is unlikely that any of the companies involved will refuse to participate in the polygraph program. Additionally, it is pointed out that several of the companies involved are already aware of the Agency policy concerning the polygraphing of individuals requiring visitor-no-escort access to Agency buildings.
- 4. It appears that there will be little or no adverse impact if the program regarding polygraphing of Industrial Contractor personnel assigned to NPIC is implemented.

Charles W. Kane Director of Security	

Att

25X1

25X1

TAB

	UNCLASSIFIED	CONFIDE	NTIAL	SECRET
	OFFI	CIAL ROUTING	G SLIP	
то	NAME AN	D ADDRESS	DATE	NITIAL
1		1 1	11/25	2
2	Mr. Blake	1. Malon	11/0/	4
3	Algio	Class (15/2	N
4			12/2	2
5	Rea	stry.		
6		***************************************		
	ACTION	DIRECT REPLY	PREPARE	
	APPROVAL	DISPATCH	RECOMMENDATION RETURN	
	CONCURRENCE	INFORMATION	SIGNATU	RE
Rer	/ 1		+ +1	lis
i c	nferration oncurre	Recomm nee.	end y	on
, c	nferration oncurre Att DD/A	nce.	and y	on
\(\cdot\)	Att DD/A	nce.		on

	ROUTIN	G AND	RECOR	D SHEET
BJECT: (Optional)	Tmlingt	mial C	ontrac:	tor Darsonnel Assigned
to the National	Photog	raphic	Inter	tor Personnel Assigned pretation Center
OM:			EXTENSION	NO.
Director of Security 4E-60 Hqs.	,,			DATE 2.1. NOV 1974
2: (Officer designation, room number, and		ATE	OFFICER'S	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom
lding)	RECEIVED	FORWARDED	INITIALS	to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)
DD/A 7D-26 Hqs.				-
•				
•				
•				
•				
•				
•				
•				
•				
•				

	ROUTING	3 AND	RECOR	D SHEET
SUBJECT: (Optional)				
Polygraphing of Con	tractors			
FROM:			EXTENSION	NO.
CHIEF, SECURITY BRAI	NCH, NPIC	: (/)		DATE 5 NOVEMBER 107/
1N600,				5 NOVEMBER 1974
TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)	DA	ATE	OFFICER'S	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from to whom. Draw a line across column after each co
	RECEIVED	FORWARDED		
1. CHIEF/SUPPORT STAFF				•
6N200,				
2.				
CHIEF/SECURITY MGMT. ST	AFF		24/	-
6E-38 HQS.	12	Nov.	REK	
4.			•	
5.				
			_	
6.				
7.				
8.		:		
9.				
10				
10.				
11.				
12.		-		-
14.				
13.				
IJ.				
14.		-		
14.				
15.				-
10.				

		ROUTIN	G ÄND	RECOR	RD SHEET
SUBJECT: (Optional)	him of Cont	<u>.</u>			
FROM:	ohing of Cont	ractors	T	EXTENSION	NO.
CHIEF, S	SECURITY BRAN	CH, NPIC		· ·	DATE
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Ψ		5 NOVEMBER 1974
TO: (Officer designation, building)	room number, and	RECEIVED	FORWARDED	OFFICER'S INITIALS	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whor to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.
CHIEF/SUPPOR	RT STAFF	8 l	w14	ch	
2.					
13.41 (5.11)					
CHIEF/SECURI 6E-38 HQS.	TY MGMT. STA	15 / 5 /	Van	RSK	1-3: We want A
4.			,	119	initiate this program
5.					ABAD but it west &
					reasoned that some
6.					initials their programmes of the made for waling on he mesently working on he
7.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				be made for individu
8.					
·					The reason of release
9.					they refuse. In this we would have the
10.		42		+ 1,	we would have the
11.		,			cartacter againstin se qualified replacements
12.					wittent description of
13.		-			oritical services pudge
4.				l.	vice.
5.		-			Plus 77

Approved For Release 2003/05/27: CIA-RDP84-00780R006100130011-1

DD/S&T# 4/177-74

8 NOV 1974

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, Security Management Staff, DDS&T

REL

SUBJECT

: Polygraphing of Contractors

- 1. Reference is made to the inquiry directed to the Office of Security by the ADDA concerning the "impact" that would result if the contractors refused to be polygraphed.
- 2. At the present time, there would be approximately 50 contractor employees affected by the implementation of a polygraph program. They represent a half-dozen companies. If the majority of personnel on a contractor team refused to be polygraphed, it could reduce our ability to complete a job in an acceptable time frame with minimum cost. If enough key people took this position, we might consider requesting a waiver of the polygraph requirement until suitable replacements were identified and processed. There would be little likelihood of an individual refusing a polygraph if this requirement is endorsed by his company.
- 3. Upon adoption of the polygraph program, a representative from the NPIC Security Branch in company with a Logistics Security Officer will visit the cleared senior officials of the contractors involved to obtain their endorsement. They will be informed of the questions to be discussed during these technical interviews and advised that a favorable polygraph is required for all staff employees of the Agency. The company will be assured that any derogatory information developed during the technical interviews would be controlled by CIA and would not be released to any other agency. If, however, any derogatory loyalty information was surfaced, CIA would be required to inform the Federal Bureau of Investigation.

4. It is unlikely that any	of the companies will refuse to
participate in the polygraph prog	
	are already aware of the Agency's
policy concerning the polygraphin	g of people requiring visitor,
no-escort access to Agency buildi	ngs.

STA:

ГАТ

Approved For Release 2003/05/27: CIA-RDP84-00780R006100130011-1

SUBJECT: Polygraphing of Contractors

5. NPIC would like to move ahead with this polygraph program as soon as possible. In support of a new project, we anticipate the addition of a considerable number of contractor personnel requiring polygraphing.

Chief, Support Staff NPIC

Attachments: Form 237

Memo Dated 3 Oct 74

STA



Remarks:

The ADD/A asked that the Office of Security contact the DD/S&T on this matter, and obtain a clear reading as to the "impact," if the contractors refuse to be polygraphed. Please return to the DD/A when this background data is obtained.



Att: DD/A 74-3974

FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER

FROM: NAME. ADDRESS AND PHONE NO. DATE

Executive Officer to the DD/A

7D 26 Hgs 2003/05/27 CIANRIDAM 00780 R006100456611-1

Approved For Release 2003/05/27 : CIA-RDP84-00780R006100130011-1

File Security 5

Director of Security 4E 60 Hqs

The ADD/A asked that the Office of Security contact the DD/S&T on this matter, and obtain a clear reading as to the "impact," if the contractors refuse to be polygraphed. Please return to the DD/A when this background data is obtained.

Att: DD/A 74-3974

ΤAΤ

Executive Officer to the DD/A
7D 26 Hqs, 16 Oct 74

AT EO-DD/A: kmg (16 Oct 74)
Distribution:
Orig RS - Adse w/O&5 of Att

Y- DD/A Subject w/cy of Att

1 - DD/A Chrono

DD/A 74-3974: Memo dtd 3 Oct 74 to D/NPIC thru DD/A, D/Log fr D/Sec, subj: Polygraphing of Industrial Contractor Personnel Assigned to the National Photographic Interpretation Center

OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP ONAME AND ADDRESS DATE INITY Mr. 1010 Mr. McMahon The Mr. McMahon DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE CETHAPKS: Agnel with a contents, wit varietle question whether this ship wit varietle question whether this ship wit varietle through a concurred a The way in the DDI, level was always of the DDI there was always of come problem of this has been warred to the come problem of this has been warred to the come problem of this has been warred to the come problem of this has been warred to the come problem of this has been warred to the come problem of this has been warred to the		, UNCLASSIFIED	CONFID	ENTIAL		SECRET
Mr. Mr. McMahon 2 Mr. McMahon 3 ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONGURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE COMBETT OF QUESTION Whether this show the routed through or concurred concurred to the DDI there was always of the DDI.		OFFIC	CIAL ROUTIN	G SLI	P	
Mr. McMahon ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE COMBERTS: 1-2- agree with a contents, wit raise he question whether this sh who be routed through a concurred a The D9+T. In years past, when PHY (150, 150, 150, 150, 150, 150, 150, 150,	0	NAME AND	ADDRESS	DAT	E	INITIALS
ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE THAT HE QUESTION Whether this shape we routed through a Concurred when the DDI+T. In years part, when PHY (150 445 DDI, there was always of		Mr.		101	0	5
ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE THAT Is: The provided through or concurred or the DD+T. In years past, when The DD+T. In years past, when	:	Mr. McMahon				
ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE THAT IS A QUESTION Whether this show the mouted through or concurred to be nowled through or concurred to the DDI+T. In years past, when PHY (15, 14, 14, 15, DDI) there was always of						
ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE THATKS: 1-2 agree with the contents, at noise the question whether this show the mouted through or concurred or the DD+ T. In years part, when PHY (15, 14, 14, 15, 15, 15, 16, 16, 16, 16, 16, 16, 16, 16, 16, 16						
ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE IMFORMATION SIGNATURE THAT IS A QUESTION Whether this shape we now test through or concurred to be now test through or concurred to the DDI+T. In years past, when	_					
APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE IMFORMATION SIGNATURE THAT IS A QUESTION Whether this show the mouted through or concurred to be nowled through or concurred to be nowled through or concurred to the DDI to these was always of the DDI. Here was always of the DDI to these was always of the DDI.						
COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE IMFORMATION SIGNATURE THAT I CONCURRENCE IMFORMATION SIGNATURE That was the question whether this shape we now teel through or concurred to be now teel through or concurred to the DDI+T. In years past, when	4			PR	EPARE	REPLY
concurrence information signature 1-2 agree with the contents it raise the question whether this sh we routed through a concurred of the DDI+T. In years past, when Per concurrence of	4			RE	COMME	KOITAGE
marks: 1-2- agne with the contents, it raise the question whether this sh no be nowted through or concurred or the DDI+T. In years past, when	+			RE:	TURN	
1-2- agnee with the contents, at noise the question whether this show the nouted through a concurred a the DDI+T. In years past, when	+	COMCUMENCE	INFORMATION	\$10	HATUR	<u> </u>
	ングルグアッシ	the DDI+T-	In years	Part	eith uni	is show eil in hen eip a the
Att: DD/A 74-3974 FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER	۱+	THE RESERVE THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE OWNER.) SENDER		
FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO. DATE	٠.					
	<u></u>		DRESS AND PHONE	NO.	- 1	DATE

	TTAL SLIP	
TO:	DD / 4	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	DD/A	
ROOM NO.	BUILDING	•
7D-26	Has.	
REMARKS:		
FROM:	D/Security	
FROM:	<u>·</u>	KTENSION
,		KTENSION

3 DGT 1974

MEMORANDUM FOR:

Director, National Photographic

Interpretation Center

THROUGH

Deputy Director for Administration

Director of Logistics

SUBJECT

Polygraphing of Industrial Contractor Personnel Assigned to the National Photographic Interpretation Center

- 1. As you are aware, the Office of Security has recently reviewed its policy with respect to the captioned subject. It is our feeling that Industrial Contractor Personnel who work in the National Photographic Interpretation Center (NPIC) Building on a Visitor-No-Escort basis should meet security standards identical to those of staff employees, in light of their continued exposure to sensitive information. These individuals have in the past been afforded complete background investigations but, except for a few cases, have not been polygraphed. Additionally, polygraphing of Industrial Contractor Personnel at your facility will bring them up to the same security standards as Industrial Contractor Personnel assigned to the Headquarters Building.
- 2. Therefore, with your concurrence, this Office plans to initiate a program of polygraphing Industrial Contractor Personnel currently assigned to NPIC, as well as polygraphing all new personnel in the same category prior to their assignment to NPIC. Appropriate arrangements for this program will be made in coordination with the Clearance Division of this Office, your Security Staff, and the Office of Logistics. Prior to polygraph interview, it will be necessary for the Director of Logistics to discuss this matter with the appropriate contracting companies to obtain their concurrence.

Charles W. Kane Director of Security

OL 4 4962

25X1

25X

Approved For Release 2003/05/27 : CIA-RDR84-00780R006100130011-1

SUBJECT:

Polygraphing of Industrial Contractor Personnel Assigned to the National Photographic Interpretation Center

CONCURRENCES:

ΑТ

Michael J. Malanick Director of Logistics 7 OCT 1974

Date

EGIB

John F. Blake Deputy Director Administration

Date

John J. Hicks

Director, National Photographic Interpretation Center

Distribution:

Orig - Return to OS 2 - DD/A

1 - DD/S&T

1 - D/Log

1 - D/NPIC

1 - SS/NPIC

1 - SS/OL